1	MINUTES
2	OF THE MEETING
3	OF THE
4	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
5	INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF MALVERNE
6	October 9, 2025
7	7:00 p.m.
8	
9	
LO	PRESENT:
L1	
L2	BENJAMIN TRUNCALE, Chairperson
L3	BRUCE MAWHIRTER, Member
L 4	MICHELLE SALOGUB, Member
L5	NICK FEIHEL, Member
L 6	JACK TULLEY, Member
L7	
L8	
L9	ALSO PRESENT:
20	MATTHEW WHITE, ESQ. Village Attorney
21	LOUIS J. SANTORA, Superintendent of Building Department
22	MARY KATE SCHNAARS, Secretary to the Board
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Please stand for
2	the Pledge of Allegiance.
3	(Pledge of Allegiance said.)
4	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Good evening. We
5	have three matters on the agenda tonight. We
6	will take them in the order on the Notice.
7	The first matter for consideration this
8	evening is the application of Tahir Khan, 82
9	Wicks Lane, who proposes to maintain and
10	legalize construction of 506 square feet rear
11	wood deck with steps to grade, and conversion
12	of 212 square feet rear porch to habitable
13	conditioned space and proposes to install a 5
14	foot high solid PVC fencing in front yard on
15	Cathy Court with one arbor.
16	Please step up and state your name and
17	address for the record and present your
18	application.
19	MR. TAHIR KHAN: Tahir Khan, 82 Wicks
20	Lane, Malverne, New York 11565.
21	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Okay. Tell us why
22	you're here, sir. Walk us through your
23	application.
24	MR. TAHIR KHAN: Thank you everyone. The

application is to legalize and maintain the

1	property I purchased that had a deck converted
2	to a sunroom converted to a dining room. It
3	was purchased as is and I am looking to
4	maintain that we got up to code and maintain
5	that.
6	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: How did this come
7	to your attention?
8	MR. TAHIR KHAN: So, I had repair work
9	done, new windows to be put on the house and
10	needed more work. Obviously there was damage
11	to the structure for which the Building
12	Department was involved in and I realized when
13	it was done, there was no permit on it.
14	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: How long has that
15	deck been out there?
16	MR. TAHIR KHAN: I have surveys done in
17	early 1970. 1972 shows the deck was there.
18	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: The porch, how long
19	is that been like that?
20	MR. TAHIR KHAN: The porch is always
21	the front porch?
22	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: No, the rear porch
23	you're looking to enclose. It is enclosed?
24	MR. TAHIR KHAN: It was a sunroom. It was
25	already enclosed converted into a dining room.

```
It has electricity going into it.
 1
 2
               CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: The fence, you're
          looking to do a five foot fence. This is a
 3
          corner lot?
 4
               MR. TAHIR KHAN: It is a corner lot. Five
 5
 6
          foot picket, it will not extend beyond my
         property line.
 7
 8
               CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: I will open it up
 9
          to my fellow Board Members for questions.
10
         Anybody? Any questions?
11
               MEMBER FEIHEL: Is there a fence running
12
          along Cathy Court?
13
               MR. TAHIR KHAN: It is all arborvitaes.
14
               MEMBER FEIHEL: It is a fence connected
15
         to?
16
               MR. TAHIR KHAN: It is not a fence, but it
          is arborvitae plants growing on all three
17
18
          sides, a natural fence. I have a gate on the
19
          garage side which I do have a permit for.
20
          That's a swing open gate. I wanted to put a
21
          PVC gate on the other side with arbor. Five
22
          foot picket.
23
               MEMBER FEIHEL: Okay.
24
               CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Anybody else on the
```

Board have questions?

1	MEMBER SALOGUB: Where is the fence going
2	to go, in front or behind the arborvitaes?
3	MR. TAHIR KHAN: It is going to parallel
4	to the house.
5	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Which side of the
6	fence are the arborvitaes going to be on?
7	MEMBER FEIHEL: It is perpendicular.
8	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: It is natural into
9	a PVC.
10	MR. TAHIR KHAN: Yes. Any other
11	questions?
12	MEMBER FEIHEL: You said the deck has been
13	there since the 70's?
14	MR. TAHIR KHAN: When I purchased the
15	house, the deck was already there. It was in
16	poor condition. There was trees growing
17	through various parts of it. When I pulled
18	some old surveys that were done when I first
19	started it, I see it. The earlier house was
20	constructed in 1952. It was not on the
21	original survey but the last survey done in
22	1972, it was a, deck was there.
23	MEMBER FEIHEL: Okay.
24	MR. TAHIR KHAN: I don't think it was
25	maintained since then until I got involved and

1	I tried to repair.
2	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Anybody else have
3	any questions? Anybody in the public?
4	Anyone wish to be heard on this
5	application? Mary Kate, all the mailings were
6	done in accordance with the code requirements?
7	MS. MARY KATE SCHNAARS: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Make a motion
9	anybody here?
10	MEMBER TULLEY: I'll make a motion to
11	accept the variance as is.
12	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Is there a second?
13	MEMBER MAWHIRTER: I'll second it.
14	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: All in favor?
15	(Chorus of Ayes.)
16	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: You win.
17	MR. TAHIR KHAN: Thank you so much.
18	-000-
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: The next
2	application for consideration this evening is
3	application for Ian and Marie Tener, 83 Utterby
4	Road, proposes to construct second story
5	addition over existing first floor with second
6	story deck/balcony.
7	Please state your name and address for the
8	record.
9	MR. CHRIS WRAY: Chris Wray, Architect,
10	2401 Capri Place, North Bellmore, New York
11	representing Ian and Marie Tener, 83 Utterby
12	road. Good evening everyone.
13	My clients wish to put a full second floor
14	on their home. The existing home is basically
15	a one and a half story residence with one
16	bedroom on the second floor and so it's a
17	little tight and they happen to be expecting a
18	baby and they're sitting right over there.
19	They have a growing family here.
20	They need a little more space and this
21	whole second story is basically over the
22	footprint of the existing home and not covering
23	any new ground. In doing so, the first issue
24	is that we have a rear yard encroachment.
25	There currently is a one story section in the

_	10/9	/25	_	TAN	۶	MARTE	TENER	_	8.3	UTTERBY	ROAD	_

1	rear of the home that has a flat roof on it
2	right now that's encroaching into the rear
3	yard. They want to build over that portion as
4	well.
5	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: They're staying
6	within the footprint?
7	MR. CHRIS WRAY: Yes, sir. The required
8	read yard is 25 feet. This existing rear yard
9	is 17.5. That's what we have as proposed. So
10	continuing that building line up vertically,
11	not making that rear yard any less then it
12	currently is. That's issue number one.
13	The second issue is the floor area ratio.
14	The maximum allowed is 40 percent and the
15	proposed is 45.2 percent. That happens to be
16	an area of 351 square feet that they're over.
17	And the last item, there's a piece of
18	existing flat roof. They would like to make
19	that a second story deck a little more usable.
20	I have two pictures I would like to present.
21	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Isn't there already
22	an existing flat roof?
23	MR. CHRIS WRAY: Yes, there is. Over the
24	garage, there's a small flat roof section.
25	MEMBER TULLEY: It has a door there.

```
MR. CHRIS WRAY: Can I give a picture?
 1
 2
               MEMBER FEIHEL: Is there access to that,
 3
          existing access?
 4
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: Yes.
 5
               MEMBER TULLEY: It is tough to see from
 6
          the picture.
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: Yes. I wanted to show
 7
          that this is the section over the garage.
 8
 9
          There's a flat section that is accessible now.
          There's a pit section you can't walk on.
10
          There's a flat section we really can't get
11
12
          access to, which is, that's the piece they
13
          would like to make it a balcony.
14
               Then I have a second picture, an aerial
15
          view just to show. So basically, that the roof
          top area is the actual proposed usable part of
16
17
          this roof top deck which will be further back,
18
          similar area to what they currently have now.
19
               I have an aerial photo to show the
20
          effected neighbor to the west of them there's
          currently a nice buffer of nice greenery. So,
21
22
          that should ease any kind of, has some nice
          privacy for both residents.
23
24
               CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Okay.
25
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: So, that's basically,
```

```
that is my case.
 1
 2
               CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: As you stated for
 3
          the record, they're staying in the footprint of
 4
          while they're in need of a variance, they're
 5
          not exacerbating the setback anymore then it
 6
          already exists?
 7
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: That's correct.
               CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Anybody have any
 8
 9
          questions on the Board at this time?
10
               MEMBER SALOGUB: With this balcony, we
          have not approved that in other cases because
11
12
          it's a safety issue, I guess, and I don't know
          why they need it there. Maybe they shouldn't
13
14
          have a balcony. I wouldn't be in favor of
15
          approving it.
16
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: It happens to be
          something that can be utilized.
17
18
               MEMBER SALOGUB: I understand what it is.
19
          I had one in the past on my house.
20
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: It is more of a privacy
21
          issue.
22
               MEMBER SALOGUB: It is a safety issue.
23
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: There's guardrails that
```

25 MEMBER SALOGUB: Is there a code for that?

will have to be to code.

```
1
               CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Yes.
 2
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: Of course. Guardrails
          need to withstand a certain amount of human
 3
 4
          impact. I would think it will be more of a
 5
         privacy thing.
 6
               MEMBER SALOGUB: No, I think it is a
          safety issue. I don't know why we denied it in
 7
          the past.
 8
 9
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: Guardrails of today, they
10
         have to withstand a certain amount of force.
         Also, a child's head cannot pass through at any
11
12
         point, so it protects children, as well as
13
          adults and everybody.
14
               MEMBER FEIHEL: If the deck was not put
          in, it would just be a flat roof?
15
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: Yes.
16
               MEMBER FEIHEL: It is an existing flat
17
18
          roof.
19
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: Utilizing something that
20
          is already there. It wasn't created.
21
               MEMBER FEIHEL: Okay.
22
               MR. CHRIS WRAY: To fill that in with more
23
          habitable space, that will push the F.A.R. even
24
         higher. Figure the space they have proposed
25
          for the second floor is reasonable enough for a
```

1	growing family and thought that will be used as
2	a nice little piece of outdoor space off the
3	second floor that's kind of already there.
4	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Anybody else have
5	any other questions at this time?
6	MEMBER FEIHEL: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Anybody in the
8	public?
9	Certainly with regards to that F.A.R. it
10	is within the parameter of things we have done
11	before. I don't see an issue with that. As to
12	the setback, again they're staying in the
13	footprint, not exacerbating it. They currently
14	have outdoor, kind of a second floor deck
15	that's existing. I know they're looking to
16	make the other side of it usable at this point.
17	MEMBER FEIHEL: The argument can be made
18	at the moment it is more dangerous then it will
19	be if it is approved.
20	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: I would tend to
21	agree with you on that particular issue.
22	MEMBER FEIHEL: In this case, it will be
23	because it is an existing flat roof versus
24	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: They're not
25	building it outright.

1	MEMBER MAWHIRTER: I agree.
2	MEMBER TULLEY: I'm fine.
3	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Michelle, you're a
4	no on this?
5	MEMBER SALOGUB: Correct.
6	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Do you want us to
7	vote on each variance separately or do them in
8	mass?
9	A motion to approve the requested relief
10	submitted to the Board?
11	MEMBER FEIHEL: I'll make a motion.
12	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Second?
13	MEMBER TULLEY: Second.
14	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: All those in favor?
15	MEMBER MAWHIRTER: Aye.
16	MEMBER FEIHEL: Aye.
17	MEMBER TULLEY: Aye.
18	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: All those opposed?
19	MEMBER SALOGUB: Aye.
20	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Good luck.
21	-000-
22	
23	
24	

- 10/9/25 - ESTHER GILBERT - 47 YORK STREET -

1	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Last but not least,
2	we have one last application, Esther Gilbert,
3	47 York Street, proposes to install two new
4	emergency escape and rescue openings with
5	window wells in front yard and side yard for
6	finished basement.
7	MS. HELEN BOGDANOS: Good evening
8	everybody. My name is Helen Bogdanos,
9	Structural Engineer representing Mrs. Gilbert,
10	at 47 York Street, Malverne, New York.
11	The scope of the work was to maintain a
12	finished basement to legalize actually for a
13	habitable space and a bathroom with new
14	emergency escape rescue opening with window
15	wells.
16	The basement was done years ago but not as
17	a habitable space. So, we went through all the
18	requirements to make it legal and the problem
19	is that you need egress windows. Egress
20	windows trigger the variance.
21	The proposed right side emergency escape
22	coping window well is proposed to have 1.5 foot
23	set back from the side property line when
24	4 feet minimum is required.

The proposed front emergency escape open

_	10/9/25	_	ESTHER	GILBERT	_	47	YORK	STREET	_

- window well is proposed to have 16 feet setback
- 2 from the front property line when it is 25
- 3 minimum that's required.
- 4 So, both windows violate the setbacks as
- 5 per the code of the Village, but there's no
- 6 other place to put them.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Okay. And Lou, the
- 8 basement at this point meets all other
- 9 requirements?
- 10 MR. LOUIS SANTORA: Yes. They're in the
- 11 process of legalizing it for habitable space.
- 12 It is part of it.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: We're here for an
- issue being raised by virtue of necessity in
- order to make this habitable space and make it
- safe.
- MS. HELEN BOGDANOS: No objection from the
- 18 neighbors.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Anybody from the
- 20 public wish to be heard?
- MS. HELEN BOGDANOS: Nobody.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Anybody have any
- 23 questions?
- MEMBER FEIHEL: Yes. The side yard is my
- concern. Side yard setback with emergency

- 10/9/25 ESTHER GILBERT 47 YORK STREET -
- access if required between the subject property
- 2 and the neighboring property.
- 3 MS. HELEN BOGDANOS: Yes.
- 4 MEMBER FEIHEL: I will be okay with it if
- 5 we require the covers to be walkable.
- 6 MR. LOUIS SANTORA: For firemen.
- 7 MEMBER FEIHEL: If someone wants to walk
- 8 over it.
- 9 MS. HELEN BOGDANOS: It is about a foot
- and a half only left.
- 11 MEMBER FEIHEL: So, if the cover window
- was structural.
- MS. HELEN BOGDANOS: Always.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: We can make that a
- 15 condition.
- MS. HELEN BOGDANOS: Yes, if you can.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Anybody else?
- 18 Would anybody like to make a motion at this
- 19 time?
- 20 MEMBER FEIHEL: I make the motion to
- approve the application as submitted with the
- condition that the minimum egress window well
- on the side yard is provided with a structural
- 24 walkable structure over the window well.
- MS. HELEN BOGDANOS: Yes.

- 10/9/25 - ESTHER GILBERT - 47 YORK STREET -

1	MEMBER FEIHEL: And removable.
2	MS. HELEN BOGDANOS: Yes. That's a good
3	point actually.
4	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Is there a second?
5	MEMBER MAWHIRTER: I'll second.
6	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: All in favor?
7	(Chorus of Ayes.)
8	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Any opposed? No.
9	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: Motion to close.
10	MEMBER SALOGUB: I'll make a motion.
11	MEMBER TULLEY: Second.
12	CHAIRPERSON TRUNCALE: All in favor?
13	(Chorus of Ayes.)
14	
15	(Whereupon, the Zoning Board of Appeals hearing was
16	concluded and stood adjourned.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	I, CATHERINE P. MURPHY, a Court Reporter
5	within and for the State of New York, do
6	hereby certify that I have reported the proceedings,
7	that it is a true and accurate transcription of my
8	stenographic notes.
9	I further certify that I am not related to
LO	any of the parties to this action by blood or
L1	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in
12	the outcome of this matter.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
L 4	hand this 13th day of October, 2025.
L5	CATHERINE P. MURPHY
L6	CAIRERINE P. MORPHI
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	